

REVIEW

IFN- α subtypes: distinct biological activities in anti-viral therapy

K Gibbert¹, JF Schlaak², D Yang³ and U Dittmer¹

¹Department of Virology, University Hospital Essen, ²Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany, and ³Department of Infectious Diseases, Tongji Hospital, Wuhan, China

Correspondence

Kathrin Gibbert, Institute for Virology, University Hospital in Essen, Virchowstr. 179, 45147 Essen, Germany. E-mail: kathrin.gibbert@uni-due.de

Keywords

IFN- α subtypes; immunotherapy; immunomodulation; viral infection

Received

18 June 2012

Revised

15 August 2012

Accepted

7 September 2012

During most viral infections, the immediate host response is characterized by an induction of type I IFN. These cytokines have various biological activities, including anti-viral, anti-proliferative and immunomodulatory effects. After induction, they bind to their IFN- α/β receptor, which leads to downstream signalling resulting in the expression of numerous different IFN-stimulated genes. These genes encode anti-viral proteins that directly inhibit viral replication as well as modulate immune function. Thus, the induction of type I IFN is a very powerful tool for the host to fight virus infections. Many viruses evade this response by various strategies like the direct suppression of IFN induction or inhibition of the IFN signalling pathway. Therefore, the therapeutic application of exogenous type I IFN or molecules that induce strong IFN responses should be of great potential for future immunotherapies against viral infections. Type I IFN is currently used as a treatment in chronic hepatitis B and C virus infection, but as yet is not widely utilized for other viral infections. One reason for this restricted clinical use is that type I IFN belongs to a multigene family that includes 13 different IFN- α subtypes and IFN- β , whose individual anti-viral and immunomodulatory properties have so far not been investigated in detail to improve IFN therapy against viral infections in humans. In this review, we summarize the recent achievements in defining the distinct biological functions of type I IFN subtypes in cell culture and in animal models of viral infection as well as their clinical usage in chronic hepatitis virus infections.

Abbreviations

alb-IFN, albuferon; APOBEC, apolipoprotein B mRNA-editing enzyme-catalytic polypeptide-like; C1FN, consensus IFN; DC, dendritic cells; FV, Friend retrovirus; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; IFNAR, interferon- α/β -receptor; iNOS, inducible NOS; IP-10, IFN- γ -induced protein 10; IRF, IFN-regulatory factor; ISG, IFN-stimulated genes; MCMV, murine cytomegalovirus; MDA-5, melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5; Mx, myxovirus resistance protein; OAS, oligoadenylate synthetase; poly I : C, polyinosinic : polycytidylic acid; RIG-I, retinoic acid-inducible gene 1 protein; SVR, sustained virological response; TAK-1, TGF-activated kinase-1; TLR, toll-like receptors; TRIM, tripartite motifs; VSV, vesicular stomatitis virus; WHV, woodchuck hepatitis virus

The biology of type I IFNs

During a viral infection, the initial host response against invading and replicating viruses is the induction of type I IFNs. IFNs are a multigene family consisting of numerous IFN- α subtypes which all bind to their receptor (IFN- α/β -receptor, IFNAR) leading to the activation of the JAK and

STAT signalling pathway resulting in the expression of several hundred genes, so called IFN-stimulated genes (ISG). These gene products have various functions such as anti-viral, anti-proliferative, anti-tumour or immunomodulatory activities. Some of these directly inhibit viral transcription and translation and thus immediately reduce viral loads (Clemens and Elia, 1997; Stark *et al.*, 1998). Others improve the host innate

or adaptive immune response by activation of NK cells (Trinchieri *et al.*, 1981; Salazar-Mather *et al.*, 1996), up-regulation of proteins of the antigen presentation machinery (Epperson *et al.*, 1992; Hermann *et al.*, 1998), maturation of dendritic cells (DC) (Le Bon *et al.*, 2003), and augmentation of CD8⁺ T-cell (Honda *et al.*, 2005; Le Bon *et al.*, 2006a,b) and B-cell responses (Le Bon *et al.*, 2001; Le Bon *et al.*, 2006b).

The IFN response is initially induced by recognition of viral components such as RNA or DNA. RNA viruses are recognized by pattern recognition receptors, for example endosomal toll-like receptors (TLR3, 7/8) or cytosolic helicases [retinoic acid-inducible gene 1 protein (RIG-I) or melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5 (MDA-5)]. In contrast, DNA viruses can be recognized by endosomal TLR9, cytosolic DNA-dependent activator of IFN-regulatory factors, the DNA-binding protein IFI16 or other DNA sensors [reviewed in Keating *et al.* (2011)]. In the case of TLR3, the sensing leads to downstream signalling via TLR adaptor molecule 1 and in the case of RIG-I or MDA-5 via IFN- β -promotor stimulator 1 resulting in the TAK-binding kinase 1-dependent activation of IRF3 (IFN-regulatory factor) or the TAK-1-dependent (TGF-activated kinase 1) activation of NF κ B [reviewed in Akira and Takeda (2004)]. With the exception of TLR3, all TLRs recruit MyD88 upon activation, which results in TAK-1-dependent activation of NF κ B or the phosphorylation of MAPK. Post activation, these factors translocate to the nucleus and bind to the IFN- β promotor leading to the expression of the 'primary' IFN genes IFN- β and IFN- α 4 (Erlandsson *et al.*, 1998; Marie *et al.*, 1998). This expression is independent of IRF7, which is required for the subsequent induction of all other IFN- α subtypes. IFN- β and IFN- α 4 bind to IFNAR in an autocrine loop and induce IRF7 expression, which in turn leads to the expression of other IFN- α genes (Sato *et al.*, 1998) and the expression of various ISG. This results in an anti-viral state of the infected as well as neighbouring cells that is characterized by the expression of ISG encoding enzymes like PKR, oligoadenylate synthetase (OAS), myxovirus resistance protein (Mx), apolipoprotein B mRNA-editing enzyme-catalytic polypeptide-like (APOBEC) or tripartite motifs (TRIM), which directly inhibit viral replication. PKR recognizes double-stranded RNA, gets activated by dimerization and following auto-phosphorylation. It then phosphorylates the eukaryotic translational initiation factor 2 resulting in a translational stop (reviewed in Garcia *et al.*, 2006). OAS also targets dsRNA as a cofactor, which results in the oligomerization of ATP through an unusual 2',5'-phosphodiester linkage that is followed by activation of RNase L. This ribonuclease then degrades cellular and viral RNAs (Silverman, 2007). Mx genes encode for GTPases, which recognize viral nucleocapsids and restrict their localization and the subsequent viral replication within the cell (Haller *et al.*, 2007). For retrovirus infections, it was shown that other restriction factors are important for the anti-viral activity induced by type I IFN. The IFN-induced genes – APOBEC3F/3G, TRIM5 α and tetherin – show potent anti-retroviral activity by hypermutation of viral DNA, compromising the uncoating step of the virus or the release of viral particles, respectively (Sheehy *et al.*, 2002; Stremlau *et al.*, 2004; Neil *et al.*, 2008).

Apart from its more direct anti-viral effects, IFN- α can strongly modulate innate and adaptive immune responses in

the host. IFN- α enhances the proliferation, cytotoxicity and IFN- γ secretion of NK cells (Biron *et al.*, 1984; Li *et al.*, 1990; Hunter *et al.*, 1997). It up-regulates the expression of major histocompatibility complexes class I and II on antigen presenting cells (Epperson *et al.*, 1992; Hermann *et al.*, 1998) and facilitates cross-presentation of viral antigens to CD8⁺ T-cells by DCs (Le Bon *et al.*, 2003), which enhances adaptive immune responses. IFN- α also augments the cytotoxicity of CD8⁺ T cells and macrophages and enhances the antibody production by B cells (Le Bon *et al.*, 2006b). Type I IFN plays a pivotal role in the activation of virus-specific T cells in lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus infection of mice because CD8⁺ T cells require type I IFN for optimal clonal expansion (Kolumam *et al.*, 2005).

Due to the various effects of type I IFN, viruses have evolved many strategies to efficiently overcome the host IFN-response (reviewed in Randall and Goodbourn 2008). Thus, exogenous application of type I IFN or endogenous induction by IFN-inducing drugs could increase anti-viral activity and improve host immune responses and should therefore be useful for clinical treatment of several virus infections.

Type I IFN in the clinical treatment of viral diseases

Right after the discovery of type I IFN in 1957 (Isaacs and Lindenmann, 1957), researchers and physicians were interested in a possible clinical application of type I IFN. In 1980, IFN- α 1, IFN- α 2 and IFN- β were purified, cloned and sequenced, improving the clinical usage of type I IFN (Nagata *et al.*, 1980).

Hepatitis C

To date, treatment with IFN- α 2 is the backbone of therapy for acute and chronic hepatitis C (HCV). The rationale for the treatment of acute hepatitis C is to avoid the development of chronicity. Initially, it could be shown that a 24-week course of monotherapy with unpegylated IFN could cure more than 90% of the acutely infected patients (Jaeckel *et al.*, 2001; Wiegand *et al.*, 2004). More recent studies demonstrated that pegylated IFN (pegIFN) is equally effective (Santantonio *et al.*, 2005; Wiegand *et al.*, 2006). Currently, there are two pegIFN on the market: pegIFN- α 2a and pegIFN- α 2b.

The beneficial effects of IFN- α in chronic HCV were first reported in 1986 leading to its approval for clinical use in hepatitis C by the Food and Drug Administration in 1990. Initially, a course of IFN at a dose of 3 million units twice weekly for 48 weeks was recommended (NIH, 1997). However, it was associated with very limited rates of sustained virological response (SVR), in the range of 12–16%. The addition of ribavirin significantly improved response rates to the range of 35–45% (McHutchison and Poynard, 1999). From 2001 to 2011, the combination of pegIFN and ribavirin was the standard treatment of chronic hepatitis C. These treatment protocols resulted in SVR rates of approximately 70–90% in patients with genotypes 2 and 3, but only 40–50% in patients with other genotypes (Manns *et al.*, 2001; Fried *et al.*, 2002). Genotypes 2 and 3 patients received pegIFN and weight-adjusted ribavirin for 24 weeks. More

recently, triple therapy including IFN, ribavirin and a protease inhibitor has been established leading to SVR rates of approximately 70% in naive genotype 1 patients (Poordad *et al.*, 2011; Sherman *et al.*, 2011).

Hepatitis B

In the 1970s, the first clinical trials with low-dose impure IFN- α against hepatitis B virus infection (HBV) showed promising results (Greenberg *et al.*, 1976). In 1991, conventional IFN- α 2b was the first drug approved for the treatment of HBV infection (Hoofnagle *et al.*, 1988). Its major mechanism of action is the modulation of the immune system, although there is also a weak direct anti-viral effect. However, it was difficult to select patients and decide when to start treatment as well as when to stop it. Thus, the treatment was arbitrarily given for 16–24 weeks. PegIFN- α 2a was approved in 2005. Since then, conventional IFN- α has been gradually replaced by pegIFN- α 2a. The duration of pegIFN- α therapy was arbitrarily chosen using 48 weeks compared with 16–24 weeks for conventional IFN- α . Even with the extension of therapy duration to 48 weeks, it has been shown that the HBeAg seroconversion rate (33%), which correlates with SVR, is almost identical to that of conventional IFN- α as determined in a meta-analysis (32%). In addition, after 3 years of follow-up for HBeAg-negative patients with lower baseline HBV DNA levels, the rate of undetectable HBV DNA by PCR is only 18% (Marcellin *et al.*, 2009).

New IFNs

Beside recombinant pegIFN- α , a variety of different forms of IFN- α are under development and clinical investigation. The recombinant fusion protein of IFN- α 2b with human serum albumin, so called albuferon (alb-IFN), is under intense investigation. It was shown *in vitro*, that alb-IFN has the same anti-viral properties as IFN- α , and the induction of ISG is very similar to that of pegIFN- α 2a and 2b (Liu *et al.*, 2007). *In vivo* studies in cynomolgus monkeys revealed a prolonged half-life of alb-IFN in comparison with IFN- α (Osborn *et al.*, 2002). The efficacy of alb-IFN was evaluated in clinical phase IIB studies with IFN-naïve patients chronically infected with HCV, in which it was administered every 2 or 4 weeks (Zeuzem *et al.*, 2008). The clinical studies showed that alb-IFN had similar anti-viral effects to pegIFN- α (Nelson *et al.*, 2010; Zeuzem *et al.*, 2010). However, in phase III studies, a higher incidence of serious pulmonary adverse events was observed in alb-IFN-treated patients, which resulted in the cessation of further development by Novartis and Human Genome Sciences in 2010.

Another recombinant IFN, which is under intense study, is the consensus IFN (CIFN). This IFN is an artificial cytokine that contains the most common amino acid at each position in the protein among all human IFN- α subtypes (Blatt *et al.*, 1996). *In vitro* studies demonstrated a higher anti-viral activity against vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) of CIFN compared with IFN- α 2a or 2b (Ozes *et al.*, 1992). Clinical studies with therapy-naïve chronic HCV patients treated with CIFN plus ribavirin showed more SVR than with standard treatment (reviewed in Witthoft 2008). However, due to its short

half-life, CIFN has to be administered daily, which is an obvious disadvantage for clinical use.

IFN- α subtypes

Type I IFN belong to a multigene family consisting of multiple IFN- α subtypes but only one IFN- β , IFN- ϵ , IFN- κ , and IFN- ω (human) or limitin (mouse) (van Pesch *et al.*, 2004). All 13 human IFN- α subtype genes are located on chromosome 9, whereas the murine genome encodes for 14 subtypes on chromosome 4. All type I IFN have similarities in structure, like the lack of introns or the length of the protein (161–167 amino acids), and their protein sequence is highly conserved (75–99% amino acid sequence identity) (Zwarthoff *et al.*, 1985; Hardy *et al.*, 2004). Interestingly, they all bind the same ubiquitously expressed receptor, called IFNAR, but they still differ in their biological activities. Explanations for their distinct functions come from studies reporting that the various human IFN- α subtypes all bind with different affinities to the IFNAR receptor subunits 1 and 2. One study compared the binding affinities of four different human IFN- α subtypes (IFN- α 1, IFN- α 2, IFN- α 8 and IFN- α 21) and IFN- β . The authors show that the K_D values of the IFN- α subtypes are all in the same range except IFN- α 2 that had significantly lower affinities for the IFNAR1 ectodomain. (Jaks *et al.*, 2007) The binding affinities to the IFNAR2 ectodomain were much higher than to IFNAR1. IFN- α 1 showed the lowest affinity to IFNAR1 of all the subtypes tested. The authors also observed that IFN- β has the highest affinities for the ectodomains of both receptor subunits. Another study from 2011 basically confirmed these findings, but extended the previous work by analysing additional human IFN- α subtypes (Lavoie *et al.*, 2011). They compared the binding affinities of all human IFN- α subtypes with respect to IFN- α 2a. Only IFN- α 10 and IFN- α 17 had lower binding affinities than IFN- α 2a, whereas all other human IFN- α subtypes showed a tighter binding to their receptor. The authors observed that the affinities were clearly associated with the anti-proliferative potency of the different IFN- α subtypes, but no correlation with the anti-viral activities of the subtypes was found, as determined in VSV and encephalomyocarditis virus neutralization assays. The differences in the receptor affinities can result in different downstream signalling cascades shown by phosphorylation of STAT molecules and MAPK (Cull *et al.*, 2003). The authors show that murine IFN- α 1, IFN- α 2, IFN- α 4 and IFN- α 5 induced a tyrosine phosphorylation of STAT1, whereas tyrosine phosphorylation of STAT3 was only induced in response to IFN- α 1. For IFN- α subtypes, it was also suggested that the quantity of the receptor on the surface of a specific target cell correlates with different biological activities of the subtypes indicating that abundant IFNAR expression might compensate for the weak binding affinity of certain IFN- α subtypes (Moraga *et al.*, 2009). In addition to the varying binding affinities, differences in tissue-specific expression of the IFN- α subtypes or the receptor may influence biological activities. Moll and colleagues showed that the ISG mRNA induction upon stimulation with the different human IFN- α subtypes was different in fibroblasts than in endothelial cells and depended on the ISG analysed (Moll *et al.*, 2011). The IFN-producing cell type and the type of infecting virus may also

change the expression and action of different IFN- α subtypes (Baig and Fish, 2008; Easlick *et al.*, 2010). For example, for SIV-infected rhesus macaques it was reported that different IFN- α subtypes (IFN- α 1/13, IFN- α 2, IFN- α 4, IFN- α 6 and IFN- α 8) are rapidly expressed after an infection in lymphoid tissues such as tonsils, whereas in mucosal tissue, only a weak and delayed type I IFN response was observed (Easlick *et al.*, 2010). Others have reported that individual IFN- α subtypes induce the expression of a specific pattern of ISG, which is consistent with the model of different receptor affinities as well as cell type-specific responses (Der *et al.*, 1998; Grumbach *et al.*, 1999; Cull *et al.*, 2003; Leaman *et al.*, 2003; Severa *et al.*, 2006). A detailed list of the different biological activities, their induction of ISGs and their expression after stimulation with viral components is shown in Table 1 for the human IFN- α subtypes and in Table 2 for the murine subtypes. However, until now, no unique function has been attributed to any given subtype (Uze *et al.*, 2007; Lavoie *et al.*, 2011) and the redundancy of the IFN- α subtype system is also very poorly understood. This implies that more research on individual IFN- α subtypes has to be performed to define their specific anti-viral activities.

So far, an only very limited number of *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies using virus infection models have reported on the distinct anti-viral activities of specific IFN- α subtypes. *In vitro* studies demonstrated that IFN- α 1, IFN- α 4, IFN- α 5, IFN- α 6 and IFN- α 9 mediate anti-viral activity against herpes simplex virus (Harle *et al.*, 2002), whereas IFN- α 11 and IFN- α 4 were the best candidates in blocking the replication of mengovirus (van Pesch *et al.*, 2004). *In vivo*, it was shown that during Friend retrovirus (FV) infection of mice, therapeutic treatment with IFN- α 1, IFN- α 4, IFN- α 9 and IFN- α 11 reduced the viral loads significantly, whereas IFN- α 2, IFN- α 5 and IFN- α 6 could not inhibit viral replication (Gerlach *et al.*, 2009; Gibbert *et al.*, 2012). In DNA-vaccination studies with different IFN- α subtypes against murine cytomegalovirus (MCMV) infection, it was shown that vaccination with IFN- α 1, IFN- α 4 and IFN- α 9 as adjuvants resulted in decreased viral loads after virus challenge in the muscle only, whereas vaccination with IFN- α 6 inhibited MCMV replication in all the organs investigated. Two IFN- α subtypes (IFN- α 2 and IFN- α 5) were not able to reduce viral loads in these experiments (Cull *et al.*, 2002). In contrast to MCMV infection, DNA-vaccination studies against influenza virus infection identified IFN- α 5 and IFN- α 6 to be the most efficient subtypes in reducing viral titres (James *et al.*, 2007). Vaccination studies with adenoviral vectors encoding for the FV envelope or group-specific antigen (Gag) proteins in combination with different IFN- α subtypes as adjuvants resulted in strong immune protection of vaccinated mice against FV challenge after vaccination with IFN- α 2, IFN- α 4, IFN- α 6 and IFN- α 9, whereas the IFN- α subtypes IFN- α 1, IFN- α 5 or IFN- β did not improve protection against retroviral challenge (Bayer *et al.*, 2011).

In most of these infection studies only the direct anti-viral effects of IFN- α subtypes were investigated, as indicated by a reduction of viral titres. This does not take into account any anti-viral effect of IFN- α induced by an immediate direct anti-viral response followed by a modulation of innate and adaptive immune responses against the virus. This dual role of type I IFNs has been shown for chronic HCV and HBV infection. During the first phase of IFN- α -treatment, a strong

decline in viral loads was observed due to the direct anti-viral effects induced by IFN- α . However, the virus is usually not completely eliminated during this phase of treatment in infected patients (Neumann *et al.*, 1998). During on-going treatment, viral loads further decrease slowly, which can finally result in a total clearance of HBV or HCV. These data suggest that in the first phase of IFN- α treatment, the rapid decline in viral loads is mediated by the induction of anti-viral enzymes. In the later phase of IFN- α treatment, modulation of innate and adaptive immune cells is required for viral clearance (Herrmann *et al.*, 2003; Feld and Hoofnagle, 2005; Stegmann *et al.*, 2010). We investigated the immunomodulatory effects of some IFN- α subtypes during Friend Retrovirus infection *in vivo*. IFN- α 1 stimulated virus-specific cytotoxic T cells, and during treatment with IFN- α 11 or IFN- α 1, both are required for an optimal NK cell response (Gerlach *et al.*, 2009; Gibbert *et al.*, 2012). Others investigated the immunomodulatory effect of IFN- α 2 on NK cells during treatment of HCV-infected patients (Ahlenstiel *et al.*, 2011). This dual action, especially the unique immunomodulatory effects of IFN- α subtypes, should be investigated in detail for future treatments of viral infections.

Drugs that induce endogenous IFN- α production

The induction of type I IFN depends on the recognition of invading pathogens by TLRs and other sensing receptors. Artificial ligands for TLRs can be used to mimic infections and to induce IFN responses in the host. This can be beneficial for therapeutic treatment of infections to improve the host immune response and combat pathogen replication. Many studies with different TLR ligands were performed in cell culture or animal models for virus infections. Synthetic ligands for TLR3 and 9 (polyinosinic : polycytidylic acid (poly I : C) and CpG oligodeoxynucleotides respectively) were shown to be effective in treating viral infections like HIV, HBV, HCV, herpes virus or FV (McClary *et al.*, 2000; Ashkar *et al.*, 2004; Isogawa *et al.*, 2005; Gill *et al.*, 2006; Kraft *et al.*, 2007; McHutchison *et al.*, 2007; Trapp *et al.*, 2009; Gibbert *et al.*, 2010). We and others have shown in mice that the therapeutic effect of the TLR ligand used depends on the induction of type I IFN, as therapeutic treatment with the different TLR ligands is not effective in mice deficient in the type I IFN receptor (IFNAR^{-/-}) (McClary *et al.*, 2000; Isogawa *et al.*, 2005; Gill *et al.*, 2006; Gibbert *et al.*, 2010). For the hepadnavirus woodchuck hepatitis virus (WHV), it was shown that the stimulation of peripheral blood lymphocytes from acute and chronic WHV-infected woodchucks with the TLR3 ligand poly I : C reduced viral titres *in vitro* and *in vivo*, and this was due to the expression of specific woodchuck IFN- α subtypes (Lu *et al.*, 2008). Ligands for TLR3 or TLR9 mainly induce type I IFN, which in turn induces the expression of various anti-viral genes and modulates the immune cell function. As most of the TLRs are expressed by DC, the therapeutic treatment with TLR ligands also results in the activation and improved antigen presentation of DC and thus further improves priming of T-cell responses (Lore *et al.*, 2003).

Table 1Biological activity of human IFN- α subtypes

IFN- α subtype	Induction	Differential biological effects (all <i>in vitro</i>)	Induced ISGs	References
$\alpha 1/13$	In poly I : C or LPS-stimulated monocytes By CpG and imiquimod in PBMC	Less potent against VSV compared with IFN- $\alpha 8$ Lowest anti-viral activity against influenza A virus	lower IP-10 induction compared with IFN- $\alpha 2$ Lowest activity to induce ISG mRNA compared with all other IFN- α subtypes	Hilkens <i>et al.</i> , 2003 Hillyer <i>et al.</i> , 2012 Puig <i>et al.</i> , 2012 Jaks <i>et al.</i> , 2007 Moll <i>et al.</i> , 2011
$\alpha 2$	By HSV, NDV and RSV in PBMC By CpG and imiquimod in PBMC By HSV, NDV and RSV in PBMC	High anti-viral activity against influenza A virus Induces chemokinesis of T cells and T cell migration low activity against human metapneumovirus	High APOBEC3G, APOBEC3A, PKR and IDO induction Strong induction of IP-10 and iNOS in DC but not in T cells; induction of IL-12R $\beta 2$ in T cells but not DC Potent inducer of IFIT1, CXCL10, CXCL11, ISG15 and CCL8	Loseke <i>et al.</i> , 2003 Vazquez <i>et al.</i> , 2011 Hilkens <i>et al.</i> , 2003 Puig <i>et al.</i> , 2012 Loseke <i>et al.</i> , 2003 Moll <i>et al.</i> , 2011 Foster <i>et al.</i> , 2004 Scagnolari <i>et al.</i> , 2011
$\alpha 4$		Intermediate anti-viral activity against influenza A virus	Intermediate capacity to induce IFIT1, CXCL10, CXCL11, ISG15 and CCL8	Moll <i>et al.</i> , 2011
$\alpha 5$	Main subtype in the liver	Stronger Stat1 and Tyk2 signaling than IFN- $\alpha 2$ highest anti-viral activity against VSV	High 2'-5'-OAS expression in hepatocytic cells Intermediate capacity to induce IFIT1, CXCL10, CXCL11, ISG15 and CCL8	Castelruiz <i>et al.</i> , 1999 Larrea <i>et al.</i> , 2004 Jaks <i>et al.</i> , 2007 Moll <i>et al.</i> , 2011
$\alpha 6$	Low in pDC Low by HSV, NDV and RSV in PBMC	Strong anti-viral activity against human metapneumovirus Strong anti-viral activity against human metapneumovirus		Scagnolari <i>et al.</i> , 2011 Szubin <i>et al.</i> , 2008; Hillyer <i>et al.</i> , 2012 Loseke <i>et al.</i> , 2003 Moll <i>et al.</i> , 2011 Scagnolari <i>et al.</i> , 2011

Table 1

Continued

IFN- α subtype	Induction	Differential biological effects (all <i>in vitro</i>)	Induced ISGs	References
$\alpha 7$	Not induced by imiquimod-stimulation in pDC By CpG in PBMC		Potent inducer of IFIT1, CXCL10, CXCL11, ISG15 and CCL8	Hillyer <i>et al.</i> , 2012 Puig <i>et al.</i> , 2012 Moll <i>et al.</i> , 2011
$\alpha 8$	Weak induction in pDC By CpG in PBMC Intermediate induction by HSV, NDV and RSV in PBMC	Most effective in suppressing HCV replication	Potent inducer of IFIT1, CXCL10, CXCL11, ISG15 and CCL8	Hillyer <i>et al.</i> , 2012 Puig <i>et al.</i> , 2012 Loseke <i>et al.</i> , 2003 Koyama <i>et al.</i> , 2006 Moll <i>et al.</i> , 2011
$\alpha 10$	In poly I : C-stimulated DC and CpG-stimulated macrophages By CpG in PBMC	Strong anti-viral activity against human metapneumovirus Most effective anti-viral activity against SFV and VSV	Potent inducer of IFIT1, CXCL10, CXCL11, ISG15 and CCL8	Scagnolari <i>et al.</i> , 2011 Hillyer <i>et al.</i> , 2012 Puig <i>et al.</i> , 2012 Yamaoka <i>et al.</i> , 1999 Moll <i>et al.</i> , 2011
$\alpha 14$	In CpG-stimulated macrophages By CpG and imiquimod in PBMC	Strong anti-viral activity against human metapneumovirus	Potent inducer of IFIT1, CXCL10, CXCL11, ISG15 and CCL8	Scagnolari <i>et al.</i> , 2011 Hillyer <i>et al.</i> , 2012 Puig <i>et al.</i> , 2012 Moll <i>et al.</i> , 2011
$\alpha 16$	By CpG in PBMC		Intermediate inducer of IFIT1, CXCL10, CXCL11, ISG15 and CCL8	Puig <i>et al.</i> , 2012 Moll <i>et al.</i> , 2011
$\alpha 17$		Less potent against human metapneumovirus		Scagnolari <i>et al.</i> , 2011
$\alpha 21$	High in rubella virus-infected ECV304 cells high in poly I : C-stimulated DC By CpG in PBMC		High APOBEC3G induction Intermediate inducer of IFIT1, CXCL10, CXCL11, ISG15 and CCL8	Mo <i>et al.</i> , 2007 Hillyer <i>et al.</i> , 2012 Vazquez <i>et al.</i> , 2011 Puig <i>et al.</i> , 2012 Moll <i>et al.</i> , 2011
		Less potent against human metapneumovirus		Scagnolari <i>et al.</i> , 2011

HSV, herpes simplex virus; IDO, indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase; NDV, Newcastle disease virus; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cells; pDC, plasmacytoid DC; RSV, respiratory syncytial virus.

Table 2Biological activity of murine IFN- α subtypes

IFN- α subtype	Induction	Differential biological effects (<i>in vitro</i> ¹ / <i>in vivo</i> ²)	Induced ISGs	References
$\alpha 1$	By influenza virus in L929 cells By coxsackie virus in heart tissue	Anti-viral activity against FV; improves NK and CD8 ⁺ T-cell response Strong anti-viral activity against HSV-2; improves CD8 ⁺ T-cell responses Anti-viral activity against HSV-1 Strong anti-viral activity against MCMV		Gerlach <i>et al.</i> , 2009 ² Fung <i>et al.</i> , 2004 ¹ Baig and Fish, 2008 ² Austin <i>et al.</i> , 2006 ² Austin <i>et al.</i> , 2005 ² Yeow <i>et al.</i> , 1997 ²
	By reovirus in cardiac myocytes and fibroblasts	Anti-viral against reovirus	Induces ISG15 and IRF7 in cardiac myocytes and fibroblasts	Li and Sherry, 2010 ¹
$\alpha 2$	By reovirus in cardiac myocytes and fibroblasts	Anti-viral against reovirus	Induces ISG15 and IRF7 in cardiac myocytes and fibroblasts	Li and Sherry, 2010 ¹
$\alpha 4$	By influenza A virus High by SeV and MHV-1 in L929 and L2 cells and high by MHV-1 in lymph nodes	Strong anti-viral activity against mengovirus Anti-viral activity against FV		van Pesch <i>et al.</i> , 2004 ¹ Gerlach <i>et al.</i> , 2009 ² Fung <i>et al.</i> , 2004 ¹ Baig and Fish, 2008 ^{1/2}
	By reovirus in cardiac myocytes and fibroblasts	Anti-viral against reovirus	Induces ISG15 and IRF7 in cardiac myocytes and fibroblasts	Li and Sherry, 2010 ¹
$\alpha 5$		Strong anti-viral activity against influenza virus Strong anti-viral activity against HSV-2		James <i>et al.</i> , 2007 ² Austin <i>et al.</i> , 2006 ²
	By reovirus in cardiac myocytes and fibroblasts	Anti-viral against reovirus	Induces ISG15 and IRF7 in cardiac myocytes and fibroblasts	Li and Sherry, 2010 ¹
$\alpha 6T$		Strong anti-viral activity against influenza virus		James <i>et al.</i> , 2007 ²
$\alpha 7/10$				
$\alpha 8/6$	By influenza virus By reovirus in cardiac myocytes and fibroblasts			Fung <i>et al.</i> , 2004 ¹ Li and Sherry, 2010 ¹
$\alpha 9$		Anti-viral activity against FV		Gerlach <i>et al.</i> , 2009 ²
$\alpha 11$		Improves NK cell responses during FV infection; anti-viral activity against MCMV	Induces PKR and OAS-1a in splenocytes	Gibbert <i>et al.</i> , 2012 ²
	By influenza A virus	Strong anti-viral activity against mengovirus; strong antiproliferative activity (B16 melanoma cells)		Fung <i>et al.</i> , 2004 ¹ van Pesch <i>et al.</i> , 2004 ¹
$\alpha 12$	Not induced by influenza virus or poly I : C stimulated L929 cells	Strong antiproliferative activity (B16 melanoma cells) Anti-viral activity against influenza A virus		van Pesch <i>et al.</i> , 2004 ¹ Tsang <i>et al.</i> , 2007 ¹
$\alpha 13$		Anti-viral activity against Theiler's virus, mengovirus, and VSV		van Pesch and Michiels, 2003
αA				
αB	By influenza A virus			Fung <i>et al.</i> , 2004

The nomenclature conforms to that used by van Pesch *et al.* (2004). MHV-1, mouse hepatitis virus-1; SeV, Sendai virus.

Also, ligands for TLR7 and 8 can be used therapeutically. They do induce type I IFN comparable with TLR3 or TLR9 ligands, show anti-viral potency and activate the host immune response (Horsmans *et al.*, 2005; Pockros *et al.*, 2007). Many clinical studies with agonists for TLR7 and TLR8 are under way, for example, against infections with HBV or HCV (refer to <http://www.clinicaltrials.gov>), which indicate their potential for future therapy of viral infections.

The application of some TLR ligands is already being used to treat cancer, allergies or viral infections. In addition, numerous studies have been performed to investigate the use of TLR ligands as adjuvants for vaccination. There are several vaccines available, which make use of monophosphoryl lipid A, a synthetic ligand for TLR4, to further improve the immune response against viruses (Fendrix[®] and Cervarix[®], GlaxoSmith-Kline, Rixensart, Belgium; Supravax[®], Dynavax Technologies, Berkeley, CA, USA). Agonists for endosomal TLRs like TLR3, 7/8 and 9, which recognize viral nucleic acids, are promising candidates for the treatment of infectious diseases. Aldara[®] (3 M Pharma), which is already approved for the topical treatment of actinic keratosis, superficial basal cell carcinoma and external genital warts caused by human papilloma virus, modifies the anti-viral immune response. Aldara contains the TLR7 agonist imiquimod, which induces a local inflammatory response after binding to its receptor. The ligand recognition mediates the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines and type I IFN in an IRF-7- and MyD88-dependent manner (Takaoka *et al.*, 2005; Honda *et al.*, 2006; Barchet *et al.*, 2008). This eventually results in the elimination of virus-infected as well as transformed cells.

A recent study by Hillyer and colleagues shows that *in vitro* treatment of human peripheral blood mononuclear cells, purified myeloid DCs, plasmacytoid DCs and monocytes with different TLR ligands resulted in the induction of specific IFN- α subtypes by the ligands tested (Hillyer *et al.*, 2012). This study reveals a coordinated ligand- and cell-specific expression of type I IFN subtypes, which might be useful for future treatment of viral infections with different IFN- α subtypes or their specific induction by exogenously applied TLR ligands.

Future perspectives

Therapeutic application of IFN- α or TLR agonists against various viral infections induces a direct anti-viral response in patients, which is followed by an enhanced immune response. One major problem of these therapies is the strong side effects of the agents. One idea might be to analyse the exact anti-viral and immunomodulatory activities of all the IFN- α subtypes present. The use of one specific IFN- α subtype might result in a more appropriate treatment, which would selectively combat the replicating virus and further improve the outcome of the therapy. In addition, a combination of the most potent anti-viral and immunomodulatory IFN- α subtypes might also be a new concept for effective treatment of viral infections.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the DFG Transregio 60 and by the DFG GRK 1045.

Conflict of interest

The authors state no conflict of interest.

References

- Ahlenstiel G, Edlich B, Hogdal LJ, Rotman Y, Nouredin M, Feld JJ *et al.* (2011). Early changes in natural killer cell function indicate virologic response to interferon therapy for hepatitis C. *Gastroenterology* 141: 1231–1239. e1231–e1232.
- Akira S, Takeda K (2004). Toll-like receptor signalling. *Nat Rev Immunol* 4: 499–511.
- Ashkar AA, Yao XD, Gill N, Sajic D, Patrick AJ, Rosenthal KL (2004). Toll-like receptor (TLR)-3, but not TLR4, agonist protects against genital herpes infection in the absence of inflammation seen with CpG DNA. *J Infect Dis* 190: 1841–1849.
- Austin BA, James C, Silverman RH, Carr DJ (2005). Critical role for the oligoadenylate synthetase/RNase L pathway in response to IFN-beta during acute ocular herpes simplex virus type 1 infection. *J Immunol* 175: 1100–1106.
- Austin BA, James CM, Harle P, Carr DJ (2006). Direct application of plasmid DNA containing type I interferon transgenes to vaginal mucosa inhibits HSV-2 mediated mortality. *Biol Proced Online* 8: 55–62.
- Baig E, Fish EN (2008). Distinct signature type I interferon responses are determined by the infecting virus and the target cell. *Antivir Ther* 13: 409–422.
- Barchet W, Wimmenauer V, Schlee M, Hartmann G (2008). Accessing the therapeutic potential of immunostimulatory nucleic acids. *Curr Opin Immunol* 20: 389–395.
- Bayer W, Lietz R, Ontikatz T, Johrden L, Tenbusch M, Nabi G *et al.* (2011). Improved vaccine protection against retrovirus infection after co-administration of adenoviral vectors encoding viral antigens and type I interferon subtypes. *Retrovirology* 8: 75.
- Biron CA, Sonnenfeld G, Welsh RM (1984). Interferon induces natural killer cell blastogenesis in vivo. *J Leukoc Biol* 35: 31–37.
- Blatt LM, Davis JM, Klein SB, Taylor MW (1996). The biologic activity and molecular characterization of a novel synthetic interferon-alpha species, consensus interferon. *J Interferon Cytokine Res* 16: 489–499.
- Castelruiz Y, Larrea E, Boya P, Civeira MP, Prieto J (1999). Interferon alpha subtypes and levels of type I interferons in the liver and peripheral mononuclear cells in patients with chronic hepatitis C and controls. *Hepatology* 29: 1900–1904.
- Clemens MJ, Elia A (1997). The double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase PKR: structure and function. *J Interferon Cytokine Res* 17: 503–524.
- Cull VS, Bartlett EJ, James CM (2002). Type I interferon gene therapy protects against cytomegalovirus-induced myocarditis. *Immunology* 106: 428–437.
- Cull VS, Tilbrook PA, Bartlett EJ, Brekalo NL, James CM (2003). Type I interferon differential therapy for erythroleukemia: specificity of STAT activation. *Blood* 101: 2727–2735.
- Der SD, Zhou A, Williams BR, Silverman RH (1998). Identification of genes differentially regulated by interferon alpha, beta, or gamma using oligonucleotide arrays. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 95: 15623–15628.

- Easlick J, Szubin R, Lantz S, Baumgarth N, Abel K (2010). The early interferon alpha subtype response in infant macaques infected orally with SIV. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 55: 14–28.
- Epperson DE, Arnold D, Spies T, Cresswell P, Pober JS, Johnson DR (1992). Cytokines increase transporter in antigen processing-1 expression more rapidly than HLA class I expression in endothelial cells. *J Immunol* 149: 3297–3301.
- Erlandsson L, Blumenthal R, Eloranta ML, Engel H, Alm G, Weiss S *et al.* (1998). Interferon-beta is required for interferon-alpha production in mouse fibroblasts. *Curr Biol* 8: 223–226.
- Feld JJ, Hoofnagle JH (2005). Mechanism of action of interferon and ribavirin in treatment of hepatitis C. *Nature* 436: 967–972.
- Foster GR, Masri SH, David R, Jones M, Datta A, Lombardi G *et al.* (2004). IFN-alpha subtypes differentially affect human T cell motility. *J Immunol* 173: 1663–1670.
- Fried MW, Shiffman ML, Reddy KR, Smith C, Marinos G, Goncalves FL Jr *et al.* (2002). Peginterferon alfa-2a plus ribavirin for chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *N Engl J Med* 347: 975–982.
- Fung MC, Sia SF, Leung KN, Mak NK (2004). Detection of differential expression of mouse interferon-alpha subtypes by polymerase chain reaction using specific primers. *J Immunol Methods* 284: 177–186.
- Garcia MA, Gil J, Ventoso I, Guerra S, Domingo E, Rivas C *et al.* (2006). Impact of protein kinase PKR in cell biology: from anti-viral to antiproliferative action. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 70: 1032–1060.
- Gerlach N, Gibbert K, Alter C, Nair S, Zelinskyy G, James CM *et al.* (2009). Anti-retroviral effects of type I IFN subtypes in vivo. *Eur J Immunol* 39: 136–146.
- Gibbert K, Joedicke JJ, Meryk A, Trilling M, Francois S, Duppach J *et al.* (2012). Interferon alpha subtype 11 activates NK cells and enables control of retroviral infection. *Plos Pathog* 8: e1002868. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002868
- Gibbert K, Dietze KK, Zelinskyy G, Lang KS, Barchet W, Kirschning CJ *et al.* (2010). Polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid treatment of Friend retrovirus-infected mice improves functional properties of virus-specific T cells and prevents virus-induced disease. *J Immunol* 185: 6179–6189.
- Gill N, Deacon PM, Lichty B, Mossman KL, Ashkar AA (2006). Induction of innate immunity against herpes simplex virus type 2 infection via local delivery of toll-like receptor ligands correlates with beta interferon production. *Journal of Virology* 80: 9943–9950.
- Greenberg HB, Pollard RB, Lutwick LI, Gregory PB, Robinson WS, Merigan TC (1976). Effect of human leukocyte interferon on hepatitis B virus infection in patients with chronic active hepatitis. *N Engl J Med* 295: 517–522.
- Grumbach IM, Fish EN, Uddin S, Majchrzak B, Colamonici OR, Figulla HR *et al.* (1999). Activation of the Jak-Stat pathway in cells that exhibit selective sensitivity to the anti-viral effects of IFN-beta compared with IFN-alpha. *J Interferon Cytokine Res* 19: 797–801.
- Haller O, Staeheli P, Kochs G (2007). Interferon-induced Mx proteins in anti-viral host defense. *Biochimie* 89: 812–818.
- Hardy MP, Owczarek CM, Jermin LS, Ejdebäck M, Hertzog PJ (2004). Characterization of the type I interferon locus and identification of novel genes. *Genomics* 84: 331–345.
- Harle P, Cull V, Agbaga MP, Silverman R, Williams BR, James C *et al.* (2002). Differential effect of murine alpha/beta interferon transgenes on antagonization of herpes simplex virus type 1 replication. *J Virol* 76: 6558–6567.
- Hermann P, Rubio M, Nakajima T, Delespesse G, Sarfati M (1998). IFN-alpha priming of human monocytes differentially regulates gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria-induced IL-10 release and selectively enhances IL-12p70, CD80, and MHC class I expression. *J Immunol* 161: 2011–2018.
- Herrmann E, Lee JH, Marinos G, Modi M, Zeuzem S (2003). Effect of ribavirin on hepatitis C viral kinetics in patients treated with pegylated interferon. *Hepatology* 37: 1351–1358.
- Hilkens CM, Schlaak JF, Kerr IM (2003). Differential responses to IFN-alpha subtypes in human T cells and dendritic cells. *J Immunol* 171: 5255–5263.
- Hillyer P, Mane VP, Schramm LM, Puig M, Verthelyi D, Chen A *et al.* (2012). Expression profiles of human interferon-alpha and interferon-lambda subtypes are ligand- and cell-dependent. *Immunol Cell Biol* 90: 774–783.
- Honda K, Yanai H, Negishi H, Asagiri M, Sato M, Mizutani T *et al.* (2005). IRF-7 is the master regulator of type-I interferon-dependent immune responses. *Nature* 434: 772–777.
- Honda K, Takaoka A, Taniguchi T (2006). Type I interferon [corrected] gene induction by the interferon regulatory factor family of transcription factors. *Immunity* 25: 349–360.
- Hoofnagle JH, Peters M, Mullen KD, Jones DB, Rustgi V, Di Bisceglie A *et al.* (1988). Randomized, controlled trial of recombinant human alpha-interferon in patients with chronic hepatitis B. *Gastroenterology* 95: 1318–1325.
- Horsmans Y, Berg T, Desager JP, Mueller T, Schott E, Fletcher SP *et al.* (2005). Isatoribine, an agonist of TLR7, reduces plasma virus concentration in chronic hepatitis C infection. *Hepatology* 42: 724–731.
- Hunter CA, Gabriel KE, Radzanowski T, Neyer LE, Remington JS (1997). Type I interferons enhance production of IFN-gamma by NK cells. *Immunol Lett* 59: 1–5.
- Isaacs A, Lindenmann J (1957). Virus interference. I. The interferon. *Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 147: 258–267.
- Isogawa M, Robek MD, Furuichi Y, Chisari FV (2005). Toll-like receptor signaling inhibits hepatitis B virus replication in vivo. *Journal of Virology* 79: 7269–7272.
- Jaecel E, Cornberg M, Wedemeyer H, Santantonio T, Mayer J, Zankel M *et al.* (2001). Treatment of acute hepatitis C with interferon alfa-2b. *N Engl J Med* 345: 1452–1457.
- Jaks E, Gavutis M, Uze G, Martal J, Piehler J (2007). Differential receptor subunit affinities of type I interferons govern differential signal activation. *J Mol Biol* 366: 525–539.
- James CM, Abdad MY, Mansfield JP, Jacobsen HK, Vind AR, Stumbles PA *et al.* (2007). Differential activities of alpha/beta IFN subtypes against influenza virus in vivo and enhancement of specific immune responses in DNA vaccinated mice expressing haemagglutinin and nucleoprotein. *Vaccine* 25: 1856–1867.
- Keating SE, Baran M, Bowie AG (2011). Cytosolic DNA sensors regulating type I interferon induction. *Trends Immunol* 32: 574–581.
- Kolumam GA, Thomas S, Thompson LJ, Sprent J, Murali-Krishna K (2005). Type I interferons act directly on CD8 T cells to allow clonal expansion and memory formation in response to viral infection. *J Exp Med* 202: 637–650.
- Koyama T, Sakamoto N, Tanabe Y, Nakagawa M, Itsui Y, Takeda Y *et al.* (2006). Divergent activities of interferon-alpha subtypes against intracellular hepatitis C virus replication. *Hepatol Res* 34: 41–49.

- Kraft AR, Krux F, Schimmer S, Ohlen C, Greenberg PD, Dittmer U (2007). CpG oligodeoxynucleotides allow for effective adoptive T-cell therapy in chronic retroviral infection. *Blood* 109: 2982–2984.
- Larrea E, Aldabe R, Riezu-Boj JI, Guitart A, Civeira MP, Prieto J *et al.* (2004). IFN- α 5 mediates stronger Tyk2-stat-dependent activation and higher expression of 2',5'-oligoadenylate synthetase than IFN- α 2 in liver cells. *J Interferon Cytokine Res* 24: 497–503.
- Lavoie TB, Kalie E, Crisafulli-Cabatu S, Abramovich R, DiGioia G, Moolchan K *et al.* (2011). Binding and activity of all human alpha interferon subtypes. *Cytokine* 56: 282–289.
- Le Bon A, Schiavoni G, D'Agostino G, Gresser I, Belardelli F, Tough DF (2001). Type I interferons potently enhance humoral immunity and can promote isotype switching by stimulating dendritic cells in vivo. *Immunity* 14: 461–470.
- Le Bon A, Etchart N, Rossmann C, Ashton M, Hou S, Gewert D *et al.* (2003). Cross-priming of CD8+ T cells stimulated by virus-induced type I interferon. *Nat Immunol* 4: 1009–1015.
- Le Bon A, Durand V, Kamphuis E, Thompson C, Bulfone-Paus S, Rossmann C *et al.* (2006a). Direct stimulation of T cells by type I IFN enhances the CD8+ T cell response during cross-priming. *J Immunol* 176: 4682–4689.
- Le Bon A, Thompson C, Kamphuis E, Durand V, Rossmann C, Kalinke U *et al.* (2006b). Cutting edge: enhancement of antibody responses through direct stimulation of B and T cells by type I IFN. *J Immunol* 176: 2074–2078.
- Leaman DW, Chawla-Sarkar M, Jacobs B, Vyas K, Sun Y, Ozdemir A *et al.* (2003). Novel growth and death related interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) in melanoma: greater potency of IFN- β compared with IFN- α 2. *J Interferon Cytokine Res* 23: 745–756.
- Li BL, Zhao XX, Liu XY, Kim HS, Raska K Jr, Ortaldo JR *et al.* (1990). Alpha-interferon structure and natural killer cell stimulatory activity. *Cancer Res* 50: 5328–5332.
- Li L, Sherry B (2010). IFN- α expression and anti-viral effects are subtype and cell type specific in the cardiac response to viral infection. *Virology* 396: 59–68.
- Liu C, Zhu H, Subramanian GM, Moore PA, Xu Y, Nelson DR (2007). Anti-hepatitis C virus activity of albinterferon alfa-2b in cell culture. *Hepatol Res* 37: 941–947.
- Lore K, Betts MR, Brenchley JM, Kuruppu J, Khojasteh S, Peretto S *et al.* (2003). Toll-like receptor ligands modulate dendritic cells to augment cytomegalovirus- and HIV-1-specific T cell responses. *J Immunol* 171: 4320–4328.
- Loseke S, Grage-Griebenow E, Wagner A, Gehlhar K, Bufe A (2003). Differential expression of IFN- α subtypes in human PBMC: evaluation of novel real-time PCR assays. *J Immunol Methods* 276: 207–222.
- Lu Y, Xu Y, Yang D, Kemper T, Roggendorf M, Lu M (2008). Molecular characterization of woodchuck type I interferons and their expression by woodchuck peripheral blood lymphocytes. *Cytokine* 41: 127–135.
- McClary H, Koch R, Chisari FV, Guidotti LG (2000). Relative sensitivity of hepatitis B virus and other hepatotropic viruses to the anti-viral effects of cytokines. *Journal of Virology* 74: 2255–2264.
- McHutchison JG, Poynard T (1999). Combination therapy with interferon plus ribavirin for the initial treatment of chronic hepatitis C. *Semin Liver Dis* 19 (Suppl. 1): 57–65.
- McHutchison JG, Bacon BR, Gordon SC, Lawitz E, Shiffman M, Afdhal NH *et al.* (2007). Phase 1B, randomized, double-blind, dose-escalation trial of CPG 10101 in patients with chronic hepatitis C virus. *Hepatology* 46: 1341–1349.
- Manns MP, McHutchison JG, Gordon SC, Rustgi VK, Shiffman M, Reindollar R *et al.* (2001). Peginterferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin compared with interferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin for initial treatment of chronic hepatitis C: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 358: 958–965.
- Marcellin P, Bonino F, Lau GK, Farci P, Yurdaydin C, Piratvisuth T *et al.* (2009). Sustained response of hepatitis B e antigen-negative patients 3 years after treatment with peginterferon alpha-2a. *Gastroenterology* 136: 2169–2179. e2161–e2164.
- Marie I, Durbin JE, Levy DE (1998). Differential viral induction of distinct interferon- α genes by positive feedback through interferon regulatory factor-7. *EMBO J* 17: 6660–6669.
- Mo XY, Ma W, Zhang Y, Zhao H, Deng Y, Yuan W *et al.* (2007). Microarray analyses of differentially expressed human genes and biological processes in ECV304 cells infected with rubella virus. *J Virol* 79: 1783–1791.
- Moll HP, Maier T, Zommer A, Lavoie T, Brostjan C (2011). The differential activity of interferon- α subtypes is consistent among distinct target genes and cell types. *Cytokine* 53: 52–59.
- Moraga I, Harari D, Schreiber G, Uze G, Pellegrini S (2009). Receptor density is key to the α 2/ β interferon differential activities. *Mol Cell Biol* 29: 4778–4787.
- Nagata S, Taira H, Hall A, Johnsrud L, Streuli M, Ecsodi J *et al.* (1980). Synthesis in *E. coli* of a polypeptide with human leukocyte interferon activity. *Nature* 284: 316–320.
- Neil SJ, Zang T, Bieniasz PD (2008). Tetherin inhibits retrovirus release and is antagonized by HIV-1 Vpu. *Nature* 451: 425–430.
- Nelson DR, Benhamou Y, Chuang WL, Lawitz EJ, Rodriguez-Torres M, Flisiak R *et al.* (2010). Albinterferon Alfa-2b was not inferior to pegylated interferon- α in a randomized trial of patients with chronic hepatitis C virus genotype 2 or 3. *Gastroenterology* 139: 1267–1276.
- Neumann AU, Lam NP, Dahari H, Gretch DR, Wiley TE, Layden TJ *et al.* (1998). Hepatitis C viral dynamics in vivo and the anti-viral efficacy of interferon- α therapy. *Science* 282: 103–107.
- NIH (1997). National Institutes of Health Consensus Development Conference Panel statement: management of hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 26 (3 Suppl. 1): 2S–10S.
- Osborn BL, Olsen HS, Nardelli B, Murray JH, Zhou JX, Garcia A *et al.* (2002). Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic studies of a human serum albumin-interferon- α fusion protein in cynomolgus monkeys. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 303: 540–548.
- Ozes ON, Reiter Z, Klein S, Blatt LM, Taylor MW (1992). A comparison of interferon-Con1 with natural recombinant interferons- α : anti-viral, antiproliferative, and natural killer-inducing activities. *J Interferon Res* 12: 55–59.
- van Pesch V, Michiels T (2003). Characterization of interferon- α 13, a novel constitutive murine interferon- α subtype. *J Biol Chem* 278: 46321–46328.
- van Pesch V, Lanaya H, Renauld JC, Michiels T (2004). Characterization of the murine alpha interferon gene family. *J Virol* 78: 8219–8228.
- Pockros PJ, Guyader D, Patton H, Tong MJ, Wright T, McHutchison JG *et al.* (2007). Oral resiquimod in chronic HCV infection: safety and efficacy in 2 placebo-controlled, double-blind phase IIa studies. *J Hepatol* 47: 174–182.
- Poordad F, McCone J Jr, Bacon BR, Bruno S, Manns MP, Sulkowski MS *et al.* (2011). Boceprevir for untreated chronic HCV genotype 1 infection. *N Engl J Med* 364: 1195–1206.

- Puig M, Tosh KW, Schramm LM, Grajkowska LT, Kirschman KD, Tami C *et al.* (2012). TLR9 and TLR7 agonists mediate distinct type I IFN responses in humans and nonhuman primates in vitro and in vivo. *J Leukoc Biol* 91: 147–158.
- Randall RE, Goodbourn S (2008). Interferons and viruses: an interplay between induction, signalling, anti-viral responses and virus countermeasures. *J Gen Virol* 89 (Pt 1): 1–47.
- Salazar-Mather TP, Ishikawa R, Biron CA (1996). NK cell trafficking and cytokine expression in splenic compartments after IFN induction and viral infection. *J Immunol* 157: 3054–3064.
- Santantonio T, Fasano M, Sinisi E, Guastadisegni A, Casalino C, Mazzola M *et al.* (2005). Efficacy of a 24-week course of PEG-interferon alpha-2b monotherapy in patients with acute hepatitis C after failure of spontaneous clearance. *J Hepatol* 42: 329–333.
- Sato M, Hata N, Asagiri M, Nakaya T, Taniguchi T, Tanaka N (1998). Positive feedback regulation of type I IFN genes by the IFN-inducible transcription factor IRF-7. *FEBS Lett* 441: 106–110.
- Scagnolari C, Trombetti S, Selvaggi C, Carbone T, Monteleone K, Spano L *et al.* (2011). In vitro sensitivity of human metapneumovirus to type I interferons. *Viral Immunol* 24: 159–164.
- Severa M, Remoli ME, Giacomini E, Ragimbeau J, Lande R, Uze G *et al.* (2006). Differential responsiveness to IFN- α and IFN- β of human mature DC through modulation of IFNAR expression. *J Leukoc Biol* 79: 1286–1294.
- Sheehy AM, Gaddis NC, Choi JD, Malim MH (2002). Isolation of a human gene that inhibits HIV-1 infection and is suppressed by the viral Vif protein. *Nature* 418: 646–650.
- Sherman KE, Flamm SL, Afdhal NH, Nelson DR, Sulkowski MS, Everson GT *et al.* (2011). Response-guided telaprevir combination treatment for hepatitis C virus infection. *N Engl J Med* 365: 1014–1024.
- Silverman RH (2007). Viral encounters with 2',5'-oligoadenylate synthetase and RNase L during the interferon anti-viral response. *Journal of Virology* 81: 12720–12729.
- Stark GR, Kerr IM, Williams BR, Silverman RH, Schreiber RD (1998). How cells respond to interferons. *Annu Rev Biochem* 67: 227–264.
- Stegmann KA, Bjorkstrom NK, Veber H, Ciesek S, Riese P, Wiegand J *et al.* (2010). Interferon- α -induced TRAIL on natural killer cells is associated with control of hepatitis C virus infection. *Gastroenterology* 138: 1885–1897.
- Stremlau M, Owens CM, Perron MJ, Kiessling M, Autissier P, Sodroski J (2004). The cytoplasmic body component TRIM5 α restricts HIV-1 infection in Old World monkeys. *Nature* 427: 848–853.
- Szubin R, Chang WL, Greasby T, Beckett L, Baumgarth N (2008). Rigid interferon- α subtype responses of human plasmacytoid dendritic cells. *J Interferon Cytokine Res* 28: 749–763.
- Takaoka A, Yanai H, Kondo S, Duncan G, Negishi H, Mizutani T *et al.* (2005). Integral role of IRF-5 in the gene induction programme activated by Toll-like receptors. *Nature* 434: 243–249.
- Trapp S, Derby NR, Singer R, Shaw A, Williams VG, Turville SG *et al.* (2009). Double-stranded RNA analog poly(I : C) inhibits human immunodeficiency virus amplification in dendritic cells via type I interferon-mediated activation of APOBEC3G. *J Virol* 83: 884–895.
- Trinchieri G, Santoli D, Granato D, Perussia B (1981). Antagonistic effects of interferons on the cytotoxicity mediated by natural killer cells. *Fed Proc* 40: 2705–2710.
- Tsang SL, Leung PC, Leung KK, Yau WL, Hardy MP, Mak NK *et al.* (2007). Characterization of murine interferon- α 12 (MuIFN- α 12): biological activities and gene expression. *Cytokine* 37: 138–149.
- Uze G, Schreiber G, Piehler J, Pellegrini S (2007). The receptor of the type I interferon family. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* 316: 71–95.
- Vazquez N, Schmeisser H, Dolan MA, Bekisz J, Zoon KC, Wahl SM (2011). Structural variants of IFN α preferentially promote anti-viral functions. *Blood* 118: 2567–2577.
- Wiegand J, Jackel E, Cornberg M, Hinrichsen H, Dietrich M, Kroeger J *et al.* (2004). Long-term follow-up after successful interferon therapy of acute hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 40: 98–107.
- Wiegand J, Buggisch P, Boecher W, Zeuzem S, Gelbmann CM, Berg T *et al.* (2006). Early monotherapy with pegylated interferon α -2b for acute hepatitis C infection: the HEP-NET acute-HCV-II study. *Hepatology* 43: 250–256.
- Witthoft T (2008). Review of consensus interferon in the treatment of chronic hepatitis C. *Biologics* 2: 635–643.
- Yamaoka T, Kojima S, Ichi S, Kashiwazaki Y, Koide T, Sokawa Y (1999). Biologic and binding activities of IFN- α subtypes in ACHN human renal cell carcinoma cells and Daudi Burkitt's lymphoma cells. *J Interferon Cytokine Res* 19: 1343–1349.
- Yeow WS, Lai CM, Beilharz MW (1997). The in vivo expression patterns of individual type I interferon genes in murine cytomegalovirus infections. *Antiviral Res* 34: 17–26.
- Zeuzem S, Yoshida EM, Benhamou Y, Pianko S, Bain VG, Shouval D *et al.* (2008). Albinterferon α -2b dosed every two or four weeks in interferon-naïve patients with genotype 1 chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 48: 407–417.
- Zeuzem S, Sulkowski MS, Lawitz EJ, Rustgi VK, Rodriguez-Torres M, Bacon BR *et al.* (2010). Albinterferon α -2b was not inferior to pegylated interferon- α in a randomized trial of patients with chronic hepatitis C virus genotype 1. *Gastroenterology* 139: 1257–1266.
- Zwarthoff EC, Mooren AT, Trapman J (1985). Organization, structure and expression of murine interferon α genes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 13: 791–804.